



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/718,595	11/21/2000	Dan Kikinis	P1541D1	5336

24739 7590 06/27/2002

CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY
PO BOX 187
AROMAS, CA 95004

EXAMINER

PRIETO, BEATRIZ

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2152

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/718,595

Applicant(s)
KIKINIS

Examiner
Beatriz Prieto

Art Unit
2152



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mark H. Rinehart, USPTO

(3) _____

(2) Donald Boys, #35074

(4) _____

Date of Interview 6/26/02

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 16

Identification of prior art discussed:

Lawler et al. (US 5805763)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ No.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant indicated difference in operation of invention and the prior art regarding the inclusion of displayable indicia embedded in the data stream and transiently displayed only while the data stream is received in real time. Examiner indicated that the breadth of the claim language under consideration does not require this aspect of operation and thus Lawler et al. fairly read on the claim as it may be interpreted. Applicant will consider clarifying amendments to the claim language. The Examiner will expand the search at the next opportunity to identify other pertinent art. In reviewing the Office Action mailed 5/24/02 (Paper # 11), claims 34-35 were not explicitly treated on the record. Therefore, the previous office action (Paper # 11) mailed on 5/24/02 is hereby VACATED. A new office action will be prepared and mailed shortly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.